

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-Conf-91/135

Fermilab Linac Upgrade Side Coupled Cavity Temperature Control System

J. Crisp and J. Satti
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500
Batavia, Illinois 60510

May 1991

* Presented at the *14th Biennial IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference*, May 6 - 9, 1991,
San Francisco, CA.



Operated by Universities Research Association Inc. under contract with the United States Department of Energy

Fermilab Linac Upgrade Side Coupled Cavity Temperature Control System*

J. CRISP and J. SATTI,
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500
Batavia, IL 60510

ABSTRACT

Each cavity section has a temperature control system which maintains the resonant frequency by exploiting the 17.8 ppm/°C frequency sensitivity of the copper cavities. Each accelerating cell has a cooling tube brazed azimuthally to the outside surface. Alternate supply and return connection to the water manifolds reduce temperature gradients and maintain physical alignment of the cavity string. Special tubing with spiral inner fins and a large flow rate are used to reduce the film coefficient. Temperature is controlled by mixing chilled water with the water circulating between the cavity and the cooling skid located outside the radiation enclosure. Chilled water flow is regulated with a valve controlled by a local micro computer. The temperature loop set point will be obtained from a slower loop which corrects the phase error between the cavity section and the rf drive during normal beam loaded conditions. Time constants associated with thermal gradients induced in the cavity with the rf power require programing it to the nominal 7.1 MW level over a 1 minute interval to limit the reverse power.

INTRODUCTION

The linac upgrade project at Fermilab will replace the last 4 drift-tube linac tanks with seven side coupled cavity strings [1]. This will increase the beam energy from 200 to 400 MeV at injection into the Booster accelerator.

The main objective of the temperature loop is to control the resonant frequency of the cavity. A cavity string will consist of 4 sections connected with bridge couplers driven with a 12 MW klystron. Each section is a side coupled cavity chain consisting of 16 accelerating cells and 15 side coupling cells. For the linac upgrade, 7 full cavity strings will be used. Presently a separate temperature control system is planned for each of the 28 accelerating sections, the two transition sections, and the debuncher section.

The cavity strings will be tuned to resonance for full power beam loaded conditions. A separate frequency loop is planned that will sample the phase difference between a monitor placed in the end cell of each section and the rf drive. The frequency loop controls the set point for the temperature loop which maintains the resonant frequency

through periods without beam or rf power. The frequency loop will need the intelligence required to determine under what conditions the phase error information is valid and the temperature set point should be changed.

REQUIREMENTS

The side coupled cavities will be driven at 4 times the frequency of the current linac, or about 805 MHz, and have an unloaded Q of 20,000. For a cavity constructed of a single metal, the percentage change in resonant wavelength will equal the percentage change in linear dimension which is proportional to temperature. A full cavity section had a measured temperature dependance of -14.3 KHz/°C, or 17.8 ppm/°C of 805 MHz.

If the cavity resonant frequency deviates from the drive frequency, power will be reflected from the cavity, causing standing waves within the waveguide. The klystron design specification requires it to withstand a voltage standing wave ratio, or vswr, of up to 1.5:1 at the 12 MW power level. The limit is the breakdown voltage at the ceramic window at the output of the klystron. A temperature error of about .6 °C would generate a vswr of 1.5:1. In comparison, 50 mA of beam loading will generate a vswr of 1.3:1 or require a .2 °C temperature increase with beam. The low level system should be able to maintain the .5% amplitude and .5° phase regulation required through small changes in cavity temperature.

When rf power is applied to the cavity, 200 watts per cell flows through the copper into the cooling water. The thermal resistance of the copper path results in a temperature gradient within the cell. An analysis of the transient heat flow using ANSYS was performed by Jim Olson and Terry Anderson. At 200 watts/cell, a 3.8 °C temperature gradient develops between the nose cones in the accelerating cells and the outside wall with a 1/e time constant of 34 seconds. A full cavity section was measured to have a frequency deviation of -35 KHz for 200 watts/cell of rf excitation.

The resonant frequency shift induced by the rf power could be corrected with a temperature change of -2.4 °C. It would require 62 KW of cooling to maintain the resonant frequency if the rf were abruptly switched on. If the cavity strings are kept at the temperature which provides the correct resonant frequency with nominal power, then the resonant frequency will be 35 KHz too low with no rf power

* Work supported by the Universities Research Association, Inc., under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy.




Figure 1. Schematic of typical one section cavity temperature control system.

applied. This will result in a vswr of 4.9:1, or a 3.7 MW power limit. Tom Owens used ACSL to obtain a turn on program which limits the maximum waveguide voltage to that obtained with 12 MW and a vswr of 1.5:1 while gradually increasing the power level. The cavity could reach the correct resonant frequency in about 55 seconds. This process could be automated with the local computer by monitoring the reverse power while increasing the klystron output during turn on, similar to the existing linac.

IMPLEMENTATION

Placing the cooling skids outside of the radiation enclosure has a significant impact on the design of the temperature regulation system. Figure 1 shows a typical one section cavity temperature control system. A pump circulates about 40 gpm of low conducting water, or LCW, between the cooling skid and the cavity. The temperature of the cavity will be maintained by controlling the amount of chilled water mixed with the circulating water. The cooling skids will be up to 95 feet from the cavities. The 36 gallons of water required to fill the system have a heat capacity of 574 KJ/°C compared to the 298 KJ/°C for the 1700 pounds of copper in one section. At 40 gpm, this amount of water requires 54 seconds to make one loop through the system. This delay will limit the closed loop bandwidth and complicate the use of feedforward.

The nominal rf power dissipated in the copper of the cavity will be about 3.2 KW. The water pump will contribute another 1.7 KW. With a chilled water temperature 10 °C below the cavity temperature, 1.9 gpm of chilled water will be required to extract the 4.9 KW of power. At the nominal operating power, the temperature rise across the cavity will be about .3 °C. The cavity operating temperature was chosen to be near room temperature to avoid thermally insulating the cavity.

Water has about 10 times the heat capacity and 650 times the thermal resistance of copper. The large heat capacity makes water an efficient way to transfer heat to the cavities. The thermal resistance makes it difficult to transfer

heat between the water and the copper. If the water flow through the cooling tubes on the cavity is slow, little mixing will occur and a large temperature gradient will develop between the flowing water and the tube wall. Special copper tubing with spiral inner fins was used on the cavity with a turbulent flow rate selected to avoid excessive wear. From available literature, the tubing used is expected to have a film coefficient 1.5 times better than a smooth copper tube providing a thermal conductance of about 270 watts/°C per water path for a 2.4 gpm flow rate [2,3]. The time constant formed with the film coefficient of the 17 water paths and the thermal mass of the cavity is about 65 seconds.

CONTROL THEORY

A simple model, applicable to the cavity cooling system, is shown in figure 2. It consists of a container, with water flowing through it, that retains a constant volume. If we assume that the inlet water instantaneously and completely mixes in the volume, then the thermal mass C will be at the outlet temperature T_{out} . The change in outlet temperature will be equal to the integral of the net power flowing into the volume divided by its thermal capacitance. Assume at time $t = 0$ the system is in steady state, the temperature of thermal mass C is $T_{out} = T_{in}$, and that T_{in} and the chilled water flow, F , remain fixed.




Figure 2. Simple model of cavity temperature control system.

Using Laplace transforms T_{out} can be found as a function of input power, P_{in} . Letting $A = 3.814 \text{ °C-gpm/KW}$, the heat carrying capacity of water, and $\omega_0 = F/AC$ the relationship is provided in equation 1 below.

$$\frac{\overline{T}_{out}}{\overline{P}_{in}} = \frac{A}{F} \frac{\omega_0}{s + \omega_0} \quad \text{equation 1}$$

For small changes in flow and temperature, T_{out} can be approximated with equation 2 [4].

$$\frac{\overline{T}_{out}}{\overline{F}} = \frac{\Delta T}{F} \frac{\omega_0}{s + \omega_0} \quad \text{equation 2}$$

An integral type of controller was selected for the temperature loop because of the simplicity and the zero steady state error. Figure 3 provides a simple block diagram.




Figure 3. Simple block diagram of cavity temperature control loop.

If I/P is chosen to equal $\omega_0 = F/AC$, then the open loop response becomes a simple integrator. The unity gain frequency of the open loop will be the closed loop corner frequency, or closed loop bandwidth $\omega_{cl} = P \Delta T/AC$. The closed loop bandwidth will be essentially constant provided the cavity temperature changes are small.

Theoretically the above system could have infinite closed loop bandwidth. Stability requires the open loop gain to be less than one when the phase shift is 180° . A conservative design would have 45° of phase margin, or only 135° of phase shift. The open loop phase shift for the case above is 90° for all frequencies. For the cavity loops, there will be additional phase shift caused by the thermal resistance of the film coefficient and the time required for the

water to travel from the cooling skid to the cavity. As shown below, the closed loop bandwidth is limited to about .001 Hz.

for $\omega_{cl} = .001 \text{ Hz}$	90.0°	controller integrator
	23.4°	film coefficient, ($\tau = 65 \text{ sec}$)
	9.7°	water travel time, (27 sec)
	5.0°	cavity wall to probe, ($\tau = 14 \text{ sec}$)
	2.6°	control valve, ($\tau = 7.3 \text{ sec}$)
	0.6°	thermocouple amp, ($\tau = 1.6 \text{ sec}$)
	0.4°	computer sample time, (1 sec)
	131.7°	Total phase shift

To improve the accuracy of the chilled water control valve, a separate loop was implemented which maintains measured chilled water flow by controlling the valve. Figure 4 provides an overall block diagram. The loop improved the feedforward accuracy which greatly reduced the time required to recover from a step change in power.

Because of the thermal gradients induced in the cavity, the desired temperature at the probe changes with rf power level. The nominal temperature is chosen to be that which maintains a constant energy stored in the thermal mass of the system. This requires no heating or cooling beyond the steady state levels for changes in power. The temperature must be chosen for the correct resonant frequency at the nominal power level.

REFERENCES

1. Noble, R., "The Fermilab Linac Upgrade", Proc. of the 1990 Linac Conf., p. 26, Los Alamos Publication LA-12004-C.
2. Baumeister, T., "Marks' Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers", McGraw-Hill, 1983.
3. Chenoweth, J., "Advances in Enhanced Heat Transfer", 18th Nat. Heat Trans. Conf., San Diego Ca., 1979.
4. Crisp, J., "Temperature Control Feedback Loops for the Linac Upgrade Side Coupled Cavities at Fermilab", Fermilab TM 1698, Oct. 1990.




Figure 4. Block diagram of Temperature control loop.